

# Cottenham Village Design Group

## Planning application comments

### **S/0263/10/F**

#### **19 Pelham Close, Cottenham – Extension**

We have no comment on this application.

### **S/0296/10/F and**

#### **S/0339/10/F** (duplicate application)

#### **20 Corbett Street, Cottenham – Extension**

This is a modest extension which is in scale with and appropriate to the existing building. We support the development in principle and agree with the selection of materials but we are unconvinced by the decision to develop only at first floor level and by the protrusion to the side elevation.

*'B/4: Extensions should remain in scale with the original building and are usually most successful when matching materials are specified. Alternative materials can sometimes work, for example when it is important to separate components of an extended building.'*  
(Cottenham Village Design Statement p.19)

### **S/0314/10/F**

#### **13 Church Lane, Cottenham – Extension and Alterations**

This is a modest extension together with a significant, mainly internal, remodelling of this property. Appropriate matching materials and detailing are specified which help to maintain and enhance the character of the building.

*'B/4: Extensions should remain in scale with the original building and are usually most successful when matching materials are specified.'* (Cottenham Village Design Statement p.19)

*'B/2: Buildings should be maintained using original or sympathetic materials and details.'*  
(Design Statement p.18)

**S/0335/10/F**

**53 Rooks Street, Cottenham – Extension**

We support the re-instatement of the front door to this property which will improve symmetry. We also support the specification of more appropriate fenestration; although not using the original material, we find that the proposed uPVC finish is acceptable in this case.

*'B/2: Buildings should be maintained using original or sympathetic materials and details. The style and materials used for replacement doors and windows should match those of the original building; size and proportion of frames and the depth of reveals should be appropriate to the house type. Avoid altering the size of existing openings.'* (Cottenham Village Design Statement p.18)

**S/0348/10/F**

**68 Rampton Road, Cottenham – Removal of condition 2 of planning permission S/0334/91/F to allow for the part conversion of garage into additional living accommodation**

We have no comment on this application.

Applications viewed and comments prepared on behalf of Cottenham Village Design Group by Steven Poole & Mike Smith, 29<sup>th</sup> March 2010. All quoted text is taken from the Cottenham Village Design Statement, Supplementary Planning Document: <http://www.cvdg.org/design-statement-2007.pdf>. Comments are based solely on the principles and guidelines set out in this document.